

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,025	DEBOER, LUC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Giovanna M. Collins	3672	

All Participants:

(1) Giovanna M. Collins.

(2) Mark Tidwell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102B rejection of claim 14 in view of Ferguson '969

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

Ferguson '969

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's attorney to amend claim 14 to clarify that the second column is adjusted to have fluid balancing in the well. The attorney suggested to amend claim 14 to read the extraction member is located within the cased portion and the second column is balanced to complete fluid flow through the extraction member. It was agreed that this amendment would read over the prior art of record. It was also agreed to amend the claim by Examiner's amendment.